

1554E9. RANDOM BUSSTOP APPEARANCES AND STILL GOING STRONG. WELL, GOING, THAT'S FOR SLIRE, ONWARDS, DOWNWARDS. ALWAYS SOMETHING, SOME REASON TO VENT. SO WHAT ITCHES SHOULD BE ADDRESSED - WHEN PLAGUED WITH DOX OR LEECHES, WHICH THE PROPERTY? WHICH EVER PNESCREAMS THE MOST THAT'S WHO. INA SICENT ROOM AND VOICE IS AMPLIFIED CRAZY. WHEN WILL UF TAKE THE TOPOH AND STEP UP WHEN WILL AWORTHYLEADERSURFACE WITH THE VALUESTO ISSUE POSITIVE CHANGE? WHERE IS THE HUMBLE KING? IS THERE NO PLACE FOR - BUT SERYOUSLY -THERE IS ALOT OF TALK ABOUT EXCERCISE INTHIS NEW MILLENIUM - PLATES, ATKINS, WHATEUER. ENERYTHING REQUIRES ABALANCE, EVEN EXCERCISE. PRACTICE HENTAL & SPIRITUAL DESCRIPTION FOR TO POST FLYERS AROUND YOUR TONN OR CITY? GO FOR IT. WE HAVE ENCLOSED IN THIS ISSUE ASSECTION OF THE LEGAL PRECEDENT REGARDING THE ACT, TO CARRY WITH YOU ON YOUR VENTURE, WRITE SOYETHING DOWN AND TELL SOMEONE IF YOU FEEL IT'S WORTHY. YOU CAN WRITE US BUT PEOPLE BON'T SEETY DO ANOTHER - ANGSTISN FAN EYOTTON THAT'S MEANT TO BE KILLED. IT'S AN ASPECT OF CONSCIONS
INSTINCT OF HUMAN BEHAVIOUR. WE ARE THE
CONTYSTELLATE EXPERIENCE CONSCIENCE TO BUT ANOTHER, LETS UNIFY AURBAD AND ATTEMPT TO COMPLETE THE PUZZE WE DON'T POTHIS OUT OF ANY SENSE OF PERSONAL SRATIFICATION, WEDOTAISOUT OF

"if you look at the definition of terrorism, what they have done is very reptilian, very slivery, so nasty. They have included in the definition of terrorism "threats to and including its economic security". So if you do anything that threatens the economic security of Canada, you are engaging in a terrorist act. Now, in addition to all the problems of protest, there is something even more insidious than this than just what is found in the definition of terrorism. Another part of this omnibus bill (Bill C 36) is that they've re-defined the Official Secrets Act and renamed it the State Security Act. Under that legislation, S3 of that act (Official Secrets Act) which is S.27 of this Bill C36, it says "for the purpose of this act a purpose is prejudicial to the safety or interest of the state if a person..." Then there are various thing that a person can do to endanger the security of the state: (a) "interferes with the service facility or system or computer program" (b) "damages property" (c) (really offensive) "adversely affects the stability of the Canadian economy, a financial system, or any financial market in Canada without reasonable economic or financial justification" So boycotts of the markets or the banks on ethical or environmental grounds are now an act of terrorism...And then, here are the ones that are really nice. (d) "impairs or threatens the capability of the government or the Bank of Canada to protect against or respond to economic or financial threats or instability (e) "impairs or threatens the capability of the government of Canada to conduct diplomatic or consular relations or conduct and manage international negotiation. So, no more Quebec city protests. They are all an act of terrorism. No protesting any stock market, any financial market, or ethical or environment laws. So that's how broad this bill is, and that's how broad the net has been cast. "C35 which broadens state immunity to state terrorists or dignitaries from abroad from international organization. If they are terrorists its OK. They are immune from our law; C22 that makes lawyers spies for the government. They have to report suspicious activity and not tell their client; and C42 that's just been introduced which allows ministers to delegate authority to their officers to declare military security zones on the spot, issue orders on the spot, and nobody can discuss the orders, even the subject of the order, because if you discuss it or publicize it, that's a separate criminal offence; If you take all these bills together, it

Canada's Anti-Terrorism Laws- Bills C36, C22, C35, C42. Presentation by Rocco Galati at a forum sponsored by the Scientists For Peace Toronto, December 2001 (Canada's Globalization, Militarization, Police State Agenda)

junta, really in the hands of four cabinet ministers who can delegate right down to the ground."

doesn't take a rocket scientist to see that what we have here is a road map for, essentially, I am not exaggerating, a military

## What ever doesn't kill us. Only makes us Stranvaer.



BAD WAY TO RUN A SOCIETY.



LIFE IS A JOURNEY we are all constantly moving going places new adventures new people new experiences The world is more then a box, we cannot limit our experience of it to our own familiar four walls. We cannot take it in using a computer or a television. It's a lesson that can only be learned by absorption. You can't buy culture in a can. Shut off your TV. Leave your car in the driveway and walk or take the bus somewhere. You will be shocked by the experiences that will bombard your senses beyond your control. You are not in the drivers seat anymore. People will come and go in and out of your life faster then you can remember their faces. Rich and POOR, they are all equally vulnerable in the streets. We may live in cities, but still the Laws of the Jungle apply. Adventure is just a bus ride away.

**BUY THE TICKET....TAKE THE RIDE** 

## **Bus Gossip**

Get out of My head, SHUT UP!

That incessant babble

Meaningless gossip Of who's doing who. Gonna wear that today?

Do I care?
Do I have to endure
Your ear pollution

## Clouding my mind?

Put me out of Your misery,


And image-worshipping "Necessary to you"

Nonsense.

Can't stand to Sit here and Take it, The freak show

You call normal.






society can't deal with the individual...it does not know how to cope with the erratic, unpredictability of many individual entities...it prefers a collective, in order to limit randomness and disorder as much as possible, in hopes of someday eliminating it completely...the goal of a society is to work towards functioning as one mind...one entity...that behaves under the guidelines of what is considered normal...like one perfect atom, that only exhibits perfect behaviours, and can perform them all simultaneously, with endless multitude, because of the sheer perfection of its actions...

Pesticides for a large for every inch of position looming in turner!

Gastly itching scene Of loosely mit soiled surface Reassuring nothing but the mk blotch soaked red



and filletyfor

Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms:


- a) freedom of conscience and religion;
  - b) freedom of thought, belief, opinion and expression, including freedom of the press and other media of communication;
  - c) freedom of peaceful assembly; and
  - d) freedom of association.


Natural rights are those which appertain to man in right of his existence. Of this kind are all the intellectual rights, or rights of the mind, and also all those rights of acting as an individual for his own comfort and happiness, which are not injurious to the natural rights of others. Civil rights are those which appertain to man in right of being a member of society. Every civil right has for its foundation, some natural right pre-existing in the individual, but to the enjoyment of which his individual power is not, in all cases, sufficiently competent. Of this kind are all those which relate to security and protection. (6)Thomas Paine, The Rights of Man, New York: Penguin Books, 1985, p.68.

Consider individual capital (or social capital, or individual social capital) as being equal to monetary capital.

Individual capital comprises inalienable or personal traits of persons, tied to their bodies and available only through their own free will, such as skill, creativity, enterprise, courage, capacity for moral example, non-communicable wisdom, invention or empathy, non-transferable personal trust and leadership.

It was recognized as an intangible quality of persons in economics back to at least Adam Smith. He distinguished it (as 'enterprise') from labour (economics) which can be coerced and is usually seen as strictly imitative (learned or transmitted, via such means as apprenticeship).





okay....

so here's a question: On July 11, 1991 at approximately 1:30pm a solar eclips occurred in Mexico City. Now, during this solar eclipse, thousands, if not millions of people witnessed what appeared to be a U.F.O materialize in the sky near the eclipse itself, and beyong that, around one hundred of the thousands viewing were able to capture what they saw on videocamera.

Okay, are you with me so far? Now these videotapes were analyzed by scientists to verify authenticity of course and it was proven that all the tape were filming the same object, from different angles and perspectives, and that none had any signifigant relationship with the mass of other witnesses. What they filmed was undeniably real, in other words, and the idea of this being a hoax proved completely uncredible.

Now, to add to that, then take a look at the Mayan calendar, one of the most sophisticated ever created, and see what it states regarding this specific date, and the event of a solar eclipse occuring. According to the Mayan calendar, the total eclipse of July 11, 1991 would usher in two life altering events: earth changes, and cosmic awareness in the form of encounters with the Masters of the Stars". Cosmic awareness in the form of encounters the Masters of the Stars, eh? Very interesting.

Now regardless of the fact that how did an ancient "tribe" as we classify them, have the intellect to grasp and idea as mind-bending as "Masters of the Stars" - an idea that we still struggle with today - and understand them as being real? My question is actually, what happened to this cosmic awareness?

Why, if this happened in 1991, are people still questioning the existence of other-wordly life, and why aren't the people who know about this spreading the word?

I'm sorry, but Fuck Roswell, the controversy behind Roswell has become mythological it seems. The events in Mexico City are undeniably real. When will we learn to accept the undeniable?



These were silent.

where it were.

twore old.

Not of or since yet.

because of these it

was nothing

EVEN THOUGH HE DID NOT TECHNICALLY WIN THE ELECTION IN 2000, GOERGE DUBYA SQUATTED HIS WAY IN OFFICE. I THEN LOGICALLY ASSUMED THAT AFTER HE SQUANDERED THE NATIONAL SURPLUS, TURNED THE ECONOMY UPSIDE DOWN AND INVADED IRAQ THE VOTERS WOULD TURN HIM OUT OF OFFICE. THE SCORES OF DEAD WOULD BE CONVINCING I THOUGHT. BUT HE GOT RE-ELECTED BECAUSE HIS MOTTO WAS "DON'T CHANGE A HORSE IN THE MIDDLE OF A STREAM". I FOUND THIS REITTERATED BY MOST AMERICANS I MET. "LET HIM FINISH HIS WAR" ONE YOUNG MAN TOLD ME. HE TOLD ME HE WAS A CANADIAN LIVING IN THE STATES. HE APPLIED FOR HIS GREEN CARD. THE INS TOLD HIMK HE HAD TO SIGN UP WITH THE US MARINES TO GET ANY STATUS. A NEW REQUIREMNET I DIDN'T HAVE WHEN I LIVED THERE. THAT WOULD HAVE TURNED ME OFF. FOR A CANADIAN HE HAD A US ACCENT AND I WAS ALARMED WHEN HE ASKED ME WHAT A TURBIN MEANT AND BEGAN MAKING FUN OF AN ELDERLY EAST INDIAN GENTLEMAN. HE OBVIOUSLY SKIPPED HIS DRAFT AND FLED HERE. BUT HE STILL AGREES WITH EVERY MOVE BUSH MAKES. IF A GOVERNMENT ENTITY WAS PURSECUTING ME I'D VOTE THEIR ASS OUT. WHY GIVE HIM 4 MORE YEARS TO FUCK MORE SHIT UP? WHICH COMES TO MY NEXT POINT: DURING WW2 ROSEVELT STAYED IN OFFICE SINCE THE DEPRESSION TILL THE VERY LAST DAYS OF THE WAR BEFORE HE DIED. THE SENATE DECREED A NATIONAL EMERGENCY AND VOTED THE PRESIDENT "EXTRA POWERS" I.E. MORE THAN 2 TERMS. TECHNICALLY THERE'S A WAR ON RIGHT NOW. GREAT EXCUSE ESPECIALLY WHEN ITS A UNWINABLE QUAGMIRE. THE US COULD STILL BE FIGHTING 10 YEARS FROM NOW.




AND IT WAS ALL AVOIDABLE, BUSH WANTED IT. HUNTER S. THOMPSON GOT TO HANG AROUND SOME REAL EVIL PEOPLE IN HIS TIME. NIXON WAS HIS FAVORITE AND I'M SURE THAT HE LEARNED ALOT FROM OLD TRICKY DICK. THEY HATED EACH OTHER LIKE RIVAL SCHOOL YARD BULLIES. BUT THEY HAD A MUTUAL RESPECT. THEY BOTH WOULD GO HOME AND TELL THEIR CHILDREN WHAT A HORRIBLE MONSTER THE OTHER WAS. THE ACTUALLY NEEDED EACH OTHER. YOU ALWAYS NEED A CLEAR OPPOSITE TO DIRECT YOUR ATTENTION AND ENERGY. PEOPLE, GENERALLY WHEN PUT TOGETHER, BEGIN FORMING GROUPS AND EYEING THE OTHER "RIVAL" GROUPS. NIXON WILL BE HAUNTED THROUGH ETERNITY FOR ALL THE INSTABILITY HE CAUSED ASIA, NOT TO MENTION THE MILLIONS OF DEAD. AFTER HANGING AROUND A BUNCH OF GUYS LIKE THAT THOMPSON SAID THAT POLITICIANS WER LIKE JUNKIES, AND THEY ALL NEEDED THEIR FIX. AND THEY WILL LIE STEAL AND CHEAT TO GET WHAT THEY WANT. AND WHEN THEY'RE CAUGHT THEY LIE. AND THE FIX IS WINNING. A FRIEND OF MINE WHO IS A VIETNAM VETERAN SAID IF HE HAD A CHOICE BETWEEN BUSH AND NIXON, THAT HE'D TAKE THE LATTER. THATS SCARY. WORSE THAN VIETNAM, DECISIONS THAT WERE STUPIDER THAN THE ONES THAT WERE MADE BACK THEN. OUCH! AND THE PROSPECT THAT BUSH WILL ASK THE SENATE FOR "EMERGENCY" POWERS TO FIGHT THE TERRORIST THREAT. LIKE THE EMPEROR IN STAR WARS. AND THEY ALSO BOTH FABRICATED THEIR MUTUAL WARS. THEY ALSO PLAYED BOTH SIDES. AND AT THE END THE ONLY PERSON THAT REALLY WON ANYTHING WAS... ?

GEORGE LUCAS WAS ONTO SOMETHING, I'M GLAD I DON'T LIVE IN STATES ANYMORE.



... outward digression



If by plight; no stairs for stones Here's something to think about. okay, remember the dinosaurs? and their mass Standished through miniaculate

That tould ever leap about such frouthy. extinction? well, when the lizards died, it was the rodents that thrived, the tiny mammals, feasting on massive dino carcasses anyways, guess what the most poulated mammal on earth is right now. A revertual force; some illumenated The Rat. think about that one. obviously we need better traps, a A tried to renaissance or even Accounty conglemerations of crueades; would of been so easily, if the rats drink like men. mass extermination is in order. the black plague, a mass diagnosis for an era of blindsided souls. it's funny when you examine the behaviour of rats and how parrallal they are with humans. when given free access to the bar that serves booked rats drink much like us, according to muse more like men, than mice" some drink to glutkony and sloth, others with mix or only on occasion; and some still prefer their tea.
anyway, moving back a titch, if you ask me, fuck the earth
and save the humans, that's what this all means, reason number
one in order to save ourselves we will have to take actions to save the earth
anyhow, and that's the blunt fucking truth, number two us human will be long gone a millenia before this earth even from the most naive perspective, will we so fuck it. plastic will become a new layer in the be using it then? no. earth's crust, weighing down the dinosaur even forther. replace, resurface and polish. the cycle of refurbishment cojugated between intervals of time. the late nostradamus predicted the final days, those of perpetual institutions of knowled constantly recycled information. from conceptualizations he fused together the incarcerated earth, the end, my friend. that's what it's all about, there's no denying it. fuck positivity, it only spawn neglect. there you have it folks, gave you the fancy dolours and flashy wordplay but it's time to get down to brase taxes. this hide us beast has reared its sickly face, and we've got to take it by the horns or we won't be around much longer to tell the tale. the christians burned down nero's slum known as rome, so sick, sickened by the the rats they'd became, emerging from the catacombs, the afterwar and built hims a swimming pool for stray ships to amuse the masses with bread and circuses, now russel crowe is our gladiator, the spaniard, and we don't get free bread we pay \$11.25 general admission, two dollars off for seniors or students (kids under 5 get in free) we've made a mockery of tradition yet still claim we follow our ritualistic beliefs. christians with bobblehead jesi on theur dashboards. zeus is a faint eighties memory of a black wrestler. We've forgotten where we came fr yet cling true to our roots, "It's a full on decay of meaning, "Supremely a esome, Ted!" (air guitar duet) We such people with thomas In with which distinguish a quest for lengthering and understanding We seek old Souls, intellects outsetts, tracks and individuals. Redicals, You will always get what you want through Share this book extremists and observatists Wester there who prefet first-limited experience del posses on facts , those who require with someone but verification, personally believe they removely validable any thing is we seek these to appropriate from a seed to after in uniform

Ramsden v. Peterborough (City) 1993 2 S.C.R. 1084: Postering -- Municipal by-law banning posters on public property -- Whether postering a form of expression -- If so, whether protected by s. 2(b) -- If infringement of s. 2(b), whether justified under s. 1

Present: Lamer C.J. and La Forest, L'Heureuz-Dubll, Sopinka, Gonthier, Cory, McLachlin, Tacobucci and Major JJ.

ON APPEAL FROM THE COURT OF APPEAL FOR ONTARIO

Constitutional law -- Charter of Rights -- Freedom of expression -- Postering -- Municipal by-law banning posters on public property -- Whether postering a form of expression -- If so, whether protected by s. 2(b) -- If infringement of s. 2(b), whether justified under s. 1 -- Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms, ss. 1, 2(b).

This appeal concerned the constitutional validity of a municipal by-law prohibiting all postering on public property. The issue was whether the absolute ban on such postering infringed the Charter guarantee of freedom of expression, and if so whether that infringement was justified under s. 1 of the Charter.

The accused advertised upcoming performances of his band on two occasions by affixing posters to hydro poles contrary to a city by-law banning posters on public property. On both occasions, he was charged under the by-law. The accused, while not denying the offences, took the position that the by-law was unconstitutional because it was inconsistent with the guarantee of freedom of expression in s. 2(b) of the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms.

A Justice of the Peace found that the by-law did not violate the Charter and convicted the accused. The appeal to Provincial Court, which was dismissed, was based on the agreed facts that postering on utility poles can constitute a safety hazard to workers climbing them, a traffic hazard if placed facing traffic, and visual and aesthetic blight contributing to litter if left too long. A majority of the members of the Court of Appeal found that the by-law infringed the accused's freedom of expression and was not justifiable under s. 1 of the Charter. The constitutional questions in this Court queried if the by-law limited the right guaranteed by s. 2(b) of the Charter, and if so, whether such limits were demonstrably justified under s. 1.

Held: The appeal should be dismissed.

In determining whether postering falls within the scope of s. 2(b), it must first be decided that it constitutes expression, and if so, whether postering on public property is protected by s. 2(b). The second step requires a determination of whether the purpose or effect of the by-law is to restrict freedom of expression.


Postering conveys or attempts to convey a meaning, regardless of whether it constitutes advertising, political speech or art. The first part of the s. 2(b) test is satisfied.

Postering on public property, including utility poles, clearly fosters political and social decision-making and thereby furthers at least one of the values underlying s. 2(b). No persuasive distinction existed between using public space for leaflet distribution and using public property for the display of posters. The question was not whether or how the speaker used the forum, but whether that use of the forum either furthered the values underlying the constitutional protection of freedom of expression or was compatible with the primary function of the property.

The by-law was aimed at the consequences of the particular conduct in question and was not tied to content. On its face, it was content-neutral and prohibited all messages from being conveyed in a certain manner and at certain places. The purpose of the by-law -- avoiding the consequences associated with postering -- was "meritorious". The absolute prohibition of postering on public property, however, prevented the communication of political, cultural and artistic messages and therefore, infringed s. 2(b).

The objective of the by-law was pressing and substantial and the total ban was rationally connected to these objectives. By prohibiting posters entirely, litter, aesthetic blight and associated hazards were avoided. The complete ban on postering, however, did not restrict expression as little as is reasonably possible. The by-law extended to trees, all types of poles, and all other public property. Worker safety was only affected when posters were attached to wooden utility poles and traffic safety was affected only where posters were displayed facing roadways. Many alternatives to a complete ban exist. Proportionality between the effects and the objective was not achieved because the benefits of the by-law were limited while the appropation of the freedom was total. While the legislative goals were important, they did not warrant the complete denial of access to a historically and politically significant form of expression.

Keep this page close at hand the next time you go posting flyers around town.





Early Winter '06 ODmagazine ISSN 1715 - 4251 Contact us at: ODmagazine@canada.com or visit our website at www.ODmagazine.vze.com All past issues available on site, for free Proper respect to its in an easily printable format. Look for us at Spartacus Books, The Asbalt or CD Shack, otherwise, available by coincidence, feel free to contact us for more information, subscriptions, submissions, whatever. We are a public vent for all to use. Also, look for the ODmagazine Compilation CD, due out in a couple issues down the road, featuring Leper, Secondstall, DaysFade, Red Skies At Morning, Mr. Plow, Tribune, Wixxx, SolicitingHate, The Likely Lads, and many others.

...onwards, downwards...

All Rights Reserved Cover is a sketch from an image used by Katatonia on their album Viva Emptiness. original author.

> Contributors: Runt, Flash, MachineDriven, Mr.Morder, Ulysses, TheGirlInTheTree Tragic, EndlessNameless, AD979, and


-Spartacus books: 319 W. Hastings St. - Asbalt (in the Astoria): 769 E. Hastings St. -VANARCHY available at Magpie or from grimeygood

## submit.

Bob the Angry Flower
















The Moral You can't change people, and it's useless to try.

